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Abstract

The objective of the research was to determine the incidence of satisfaction and quality in the loyalty of external users. The loyalty of users or customers are fundamental elements for the growth and development of organizations and that is influenced by various factors. After a focus group and through Pareto analysis, it was determined that the indicated variables are those with the greatest implication. For the research, a quantitative approach of non-experimental design was used, of explanatory scope with a random sample made up of 384 users of the public entity and whose results showed that the variables satisfaction and quality affect 72.9% on loyalty, through of the Cox and Snell model.
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Introduction

User loyalty is the result of a set of factors that will have a significant impact on it. Many public and private institutions fail due to deficiencies in the service they provide. Thus, theorists such as Pérez (2006), Mesén (2011) Peña, Ramírez and Osorio (2014) defined loyalty as a set of strategic processes with the aim of having a long and consolidated relationship with users and customers, whose purpose is oriented to give it an organizational solidity. Two important aspects of loyalty are brand and service. Reyes (2011) affirms that the recognized brand is the consolidation of the organization, recognized by users, external and internal, in compliance with the offer or quality standards.

User satisfaction from the perspective of Kotler, (2009), Calva (2009) understand that it is an evaluative process of the various aspects of the services provided by the organization, exceeding or
equaling the expectations generated around the expected service. Therefore, every organization must establish a set of procedures (Borges 2009), assuming a standardization process; the personalized treatment, according to Martínez (2009) that would guarantee the respect and the right of the user and in this way meet the user's expectations.

Medina, Medina and Vigueras (2011) Velandia (2007) and Farias (2010) with reference to quality affirm that the perception of the subjects about the service provided by the organization, generating satisfaction as well as dissatisfaction. To measure quality, the SERVice PERFormance model, created by Cronin and Taylor (1994), where the service provided by the organization is measured through customer perception. The level of perception of the user will allow to define the level of loyalty or loyalty. The Servperf model presents five categories or dimensions to evaluate: responsibility, reliability, security, empathy and tangible elements. (Cronin and Taylor 1994).

In the research process, it was of a basic type and of a non-experimental and transactional design, Hernández, Fernández and Baptista (2014) and Ñaupas, Mejía, Novoa and Villagómez (2013), being the random sample made up of 384 users of the public service.

The descriptive results obtained after applying the instruments to external users of the public institution, Table 1 indicates, satisfaction of 10.4% perceives a high level, 28.1% perceive a low level and 61.5% perceives a medium level. Regarding quality, 49.0% perceive a high level, 51.0% perceive a low level. In relation to loyalty, 51.6% perceive a high level, 48.4% perceive a low level.

Table 1
Levels of perception of satisfaction, quality and loyalty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>Satisfaction Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Quality Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Loyalty Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Logistic regression was used to test the general hypothesis, whose statistical results indicate that the report has been adequate, with statistical significance and a level of influence of 72.9% according to the Cox and Snell model, which explain the effect. on the dependent variable.

Table 2
General hypothesis testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General and specific hypotheses</th>
<th>Logarithm of likelihood</th>
<th>Cox and Snell's R squared</th>
<th>Nagelkerke's R squared</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction and perceived quality influence the loyalty of users of a public institution.</td>
<td>.000a</td>
<td>, 729</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The estimation has ended at iteration number 20 because the maximum number of iterations has been reached. The final solution cannot be found
For the contrast of specific hypothesis 1, logistic regression was used, whose statistical results indicate that the report has been adequate, with a statistical significance and with a level of influence of 66.7% according to the Cox and Snell model that explain the effect on the dependent variable.

Table 3
Specific hypothesis test 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General and specific hypotheses</th>
<th>Logarithm of likelihood</th>
<th>Cox and Snell's R squared</th>
<th>Nagelkerke's R square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction and perceived quality influence the brand dimension of the loyalty of users of a public institution.</td>
<td>.000a</td>
<td>, 667</td>
<td>, 939</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The estimation has ended at iteration number 20 because the maximum number of iterations has been reached. The final solution cannot be found.

For the contrast of specific hypothesis 2, logistic regression was used, whose statistical results indicate that the report has been adequate, with a statistical significance and with a level of influence of 70.8% according to the Cox and Snell model that explain the effect on the dependent variable.

Table 4
Specific hypothesis test 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General and specific hypotheses</th>
<th>Logarithm of likelihood</th>
<th>Cox and Snell's R squared</th>
<th>Nagelkerke's R square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction and perceived quality influence the service dimension of the loyalty of users of a public institution</td>
<td>.000a</td>
<td>, 708</td>
<td>, 944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The estimation has ended at iteration number 20 because the maximum number of iterations has been reached. The final solution cannot be found.

Discussion
The statistical results allow us to establish that although the perception of the variables independently has a moderate level of appreciation in the satisfaction variable, in the other variables, quality and loyalty is low, being logical when we relate the variables subjectively. However, this is corroborated when the statistical analysis is carried out with the logistic regression tests, evidencing the influence of the independent variables in a significant way.

These findings confirm the results of previous research such as that of Cabana, Cortés, Vega and Cortés (2016) when they affirm that the loyalty of users -students- requires effective management processes (satisfaction and quality, among others) that guarantee institutional life, requiring organizational reengineering processes for this. Likewise, the results obtained by García, Cepeda and Ruiz (2012) allow us to confirm the influence of satisfaction with the quality perceived by customers, with an R2 index of 0.892. The results obtained by Vergara and Quesada (2011) also allow us to confirm the influence of the study variables, where the authors used the instrument proposed by Oh (1999) and Servqual and their results showed the influence of the variables on the quality of service borrowed.

The various theorists referred to, as well as the findings regarding user loyalty, only confirm that this fact is due to the influence of various factors, which for the present case is satisfaction and quality as direct vectors (66.7%) and that 33.3% is due to other factors not contemplated in the present investigation.
Conclusions
Satisfaction and perceived quality influence user loyalty in a public institution, according to the Nagelkerke index at 72.9%. Likewise, in the first specific hypothesis, satisfaction and perceived quality influence the brand in 66.7% and the second specific hypothesis, satisfaction and perceived quality influence loyalty services in 70.8%.
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